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Lecture outline

• Proxies
• Caches
  o Why
  o Where
  o How
• Content Distribution Networks
• Some themes of this course
Resources

Textbooks:
- Keshav: nothing
- Varghese: only caching applied to processor memories
- Tanenbaum: § 7.3.5: Caching, Content Delivery
- Kurose and Ross: § 2.2.5-6

RFC 2616, Ch 13 (25 pages!), 14.9

C. Deleuze: "Content Networks" Internet Protocol Journal, 7(2):2-11

RFCs aren’t always entirely dry, e.g. RFC2616: “If the user has overridden the caching mechanisms in a way that would abnormally reduce the effectiveness of caches, the user agent SHOULD continually indicate this state to the user (for example, by a display of a picture of currency in flames)”
“Since the protocol normally allows the user agent to determine if responses are stale or not, this indication need only be displayed when this actually happens. The indication need not be a dialog box; it could be an icon (for example, a picture of a rotting fish)”
Proxies

Content (particularly stored, web content) is available from one source, but may also be available from other sources.

Terminology:

- **Origin server**: Original source of an object
- **Proxy server**: Provides object instead of origin server

Some (caches) are demand-driven: Acts as both a
  - **server**: responding to client’s requests
  - **client**: forwarding requests that it cannot respond to towards the origin server

Some are driven by supply and demand (e.g. proactive caching)
Some are supply-driven: Content Distribution Networks

Figure based on Kurose & Ross
Client awareness of proxies

- **Configured proxy**: Client explicitly sends request to proxy, which forwards if necessary.
  - ✓ Gives client choice of proxy
  - ✓ May be chosen for reasons other than performance, e.g. privacy through an anonymising proxy
  - ✗ Requires client configuration

- **Transparent proxy**: Intercepts requests from client and serves them if it can (using origin server’s address).
  - ✓ Requires no configuration of client.
  - ✓ Service provider can install without coordination with clients.
  - ➞ Common today

(“Transparent” in terms of needing no configuration. May be detectable in other ways, e.g. client sees same TTL on responses from servers varying number of hops away)
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Caching principle

- Fast resources are scarce
  e.g. storage close to clients (low propagation delay & fast links)
- Aim to locate commonly used objects in fast resource, other objects can remain in slower resources.

Determining which objects are commonly accessed:
Accesses are often correlated, and are said to exhibit “locality”.

**Temporal locality**: Information accessed at one time is likely to be accessed again in the near future.

**Spatial locality**: Information accessed at one point is likely to be accessed also by nearby points.

“Spatial locality” here refers to demand from nearby points, which differs from “spatial distribution” which refers to how far the traffic propagates through the network.
Link: Caching in computer memories

Program may repeat a loop several times. **Temporal locality**: each instruction in the loop is accessed again in the near future. (c.f. instructions outside the loop).

**Spatial locality**: after one instruction in the loop is accessed, the next instruction in the loop will likely be accessed.

=> Improve performance by locating recently used and adjacent bytes of complete memory (e.g. DRAM or even disk) in a high-speed memory (e.g. SRAM on CPU).
Caching of content in networks

**Temporal locality**: Content used once is likely to be used again, in the near future, by that node.
   e.g. view UNSW logo one day; likely to view again the next day.

**Spatial locality**: Content used by one user is likely to also be used by nearby users.
   e.g. one student @ UNSW accesses www.facebook.com => likely that others will also

“In one study spanning more than a month, out of all the objects requested by individual users, on average close to 60 percent of those objects were requested more than once by the same user. ... of the hits recorded in another caching study, up to 85 percent were the result of multiple users requesting the same object.”


Caching example (1)

Assumptions

- average object size = 100,000 bits
- avg. request rate from institution’s browser to origin servers = 15/sec
- delay from institutional router to any origin server and back to router = 2 sec

Consequences

- utilization on LAN = 15%
- utilization on access link = 100%
- total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay = 2 sec + minutes + milliseconds

Slide from [Kurose and Ross](https://example.com)
Caching example (2)

Possible solution
- increase bandwidth of access link to, say, 10 Mbps

Consequences
- utilization on LAN = 15%
- utilization on access link = 15%
- Total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay = 2 sec + msecs + msecs
- often a costly upgrade

Slide from Kurose and Ross
Caching example (3)

Install cache
- suppose hit rate is 0.4

Consequence
- 40% requests will be satisfied almost immediately
- 60% requests satisfied by origin server
- utilization of access link reduced to 60%, resulting in negligible delays (say 10 msec)
- total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay
  = 0.6*2 sec + 0.6*0.01 secs + milliseconds‡ < 1.3 secs

‡ + millisecond LAN delays for 40% of traffic served by cache
Benefits of caching

Benefits: Eliminate the need (in many cases) to:
- Send request to origin server (reducing delay, and link use)
- Send full response from origin server (reducing link use)

Consequences:
√ Reduced delay
  √ Directly benefits end-user.
  √ May benefit service providers (ISPs or web servers) by making their service more popular to end-users.
√ Reduced traffic
  √ Reduces load on network links
  √ Reduces load on server
    e.g. reducing “flash crowds”
√ Mask unavailability of origin server
  e.g. when working offline, or during faults
Costs of caching

- Implementation cost: memory, processing, protocol for cache control directives

- Either
  - Client needs to be configured, or
  - Switch/router needs to forward requests to cache.

- Delay: for objects that
  - aren’t cached: Must check cache then get from origin.
  - are cached: May want to “validate” them before using.

- Origin server loses control
  - Consistency: How to update replicas?
  - Monitoring: How track access to content? e.g. count impressions for charging advertisers
More on consistency

Consistency\textsuperscript{†}: Ensure that multiple objects are in agreement
  
  \begin{itemize}
    \item Object in origin server vs object in cache
    \item Multiple objects describing one thing
  \end{itemize}

If object at origin changes, when/how do cached copies change?

\begin{itemize}
  \item Validation: Cached copy used only after checking
  \item Invalidation: Origin may suggest expected expiry time.
\end{itemize}

Origin can’t readily inform caches of change:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Hard when origin can’t control copying \implies identify caches
  \item Scales poorly
\end{itemize}

Degrees of consistency:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Strong: Never deliver inconsistent info
  \item Weak: Rarely deliver inconsistent info
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{†} Called “semantic transparency” in RFC2616 about web caching.
Outline

• Proxies
• Caches
  o Why
  o Where
    • Cache locations
    • Cache implementations
    • Cooperative caching
    • Client awareness of caches
  o How
• Content Distribution Networks
Cache locations

**In client end-system**
- Implemented in software
- Can cache private info

**In network as a proxy cache**
- Implemented in “hardware”, e.g. router module or free-standing “appliance”
- Shared by multiple clients => can exploit “spatial” locality, but can’t cache private info
- Client may be served by multiple proxies in different networks => “hierarchical caching”
Cache implementations

When router classifies packets, identifies those suitable for cache (e.g. HTTP requests) and forwards them to cache rather than origin server.

Examples of Cisco Content Engines

- "Network modules" for 26--28--37--3800 routers

- Free-standing "appliances"

Cooperative caching

- If one cache doesn’t have a usable copy, then rather than ask the origin server, perhaps try peer caches.
- Cooperation effectively increases capacity of cache and user population (=> potential for spatial locality).

Figure 5. Cooperative caching. Caches communicate with peers before making requests over the Web.
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• How to cache
HTML vs HTTP directives

Directives allow client/server to control how caching is used.

- **HTML directives:**
  - e.g. `<meta http-equiv="pragma" content="no-cache">`
  - HTML is close to users (e.g. author of web page) =>
    - √ easy for authors to control
    - × limited to HTML objects

- **HTTP directives:**
  - e.g. Cache-control:, Expires:, if-modified-since:, header lines
  - HTTP is lower level =>
    - √ Easier for caches to locate =>
    - √ More likely to be obeyed by caches
    - √ Most popular directives => our focus
  - Web server configuration determines HTTP directives used (e.g. in httpd.conf and .htaccess files)
Sample HTTP header

Request
Hypertext Transfer Protocol
GET /tele9751/ HTTP/1.1\r\nHost: subjects.ee.unsw.edu.au\r\nUser-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-GB; rv:1.8.0.7) Gecko/20060909 Firefox/1.5.0.7\r\nAccept: text/xml,application/xml,application/xhtml+xml,text/html;q=0.9,text/plain;q=0.8,image/png,*/*;q=0.5\r\nAccept-Language: en-gb,en;q=0.5\r\nAccept-Encoding: gzip,deflate\r\nAccept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf8\r\nKeep-Alive: 300\r\nConnection: keep-alive\r\n\r\n
Response
Hypertext Transfer Protocol
HTTP/1.1 200 OK\r\nDate: Mon, 25 Sep 2013 03:21:15 GMT\r\nServer: Apache/1.3.33 (Unix) mod_ssl/2.8.23 OpenSSL/0.9.8\r\nLast-Modified: Tue, 19 Sep 2013 21:02:33 GMT\r\nETag: "28002d-730-45105ae9"\r\nAccept-Ranges: bytes\r\nContent-Length: 1840\r\nKeep-Alive: timeout=15, max=99\r\nConnection: Keep-Alive\r\nContent-Type: text/html\r\n\r
Line-based text data: text/html
<html>
<head>
<title>TELE 9751</title>
</head>
<body>
<center><h1>TELE 9751: Switching Systems Design</h1></center>
Outline of HTTP directives

Gross directives: Over-ride other controls

Predictors: Client can avoid another request and response if server previously predicted info would remain current.
- Lifecycle of an object
- Timeliness directives
- Expiry predicted by server

Validation: Client might avoid full response from server if it validates its copy.
- Versions of an object
- Validation by clients
- (re)validation directives
- Choosing whether to revalidate

Practical issues
- Replacement policies for caches with limited capacity
- Shared cache issues
e.g. accessing irtf.org

- Links to other sites (e.g. Facebook) that have been cached.
- Text examples omit irrelevant header fields
- Captured packets on course web page
- All traffic uses server port 80; examples identified by client port
Gross directives

Gross directives over-ride other cache controls, e.g. preventing or requiring use of cache.

In requests and responses:
• **no-store**: prevents caching†: cache must not store (= can’t cache) either associated request or response.
  o intended “to prevent the inadvertent release or retention of sensitive information (for example, on backup tapes).”
• **no-transform**:
  o Context: Caches are generally permitted to convert the format of data, e.g. bitmap to jpeg for efficient storage.
  o this directive prevents that, e.g. for medical imaging.

In requests only:
• **only-if-cached**: Requires that cache be used. Cache responds with either cached response or 504 (Gateway Timeout) status.
  o Useful when origin server is unavailable & client does not want to wait for cache to timeout trying to validate object.

† A separate “no-cache” directive allows a cache to store an object, but requires validation before responding with that object.
Lifecycle of an object

- **Last-modified**: When the object was last modified at the origin server.
  - < Date of client’s copy => OK to use copy
  - Date - Last-modified suggests frequency of change

- **Date**: When the object was sent by the origin server
  - =>
    - last time known to be fresh.
    - + Age = Reference for checking for expiry

- **Expires**: Server’s prediction of when copies should be replaced.

- **Age**: How long the object has spent in caches
Lifecycle examples

TCP port 49777

Icon showing how many have tweeted this page

GET
tr.receiveCount HTTP/1.1
Host: urls.api.twitter.com

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Last-Modified: Tue, 24 May 2011 04:40:21 GMT
Cache-Control: must-revalidate, max-age=900
Expires: Tue, 24 May 2011 04:55:21 GMT
Date: Tue, 24 May 2011 04:40:21 GMT
Timeliness directives

Clients can request objects have certain timeliness:

- **Max-age**: Client may be unwilling to accept older info.
  
  Note that this is wrt Date and not Last-modified, i.e. age measures time held in caches; not time since modified by server.
  
  Max-age can also be carried in responses, limiting age in relative terms (e.g. seconds) c.f. absolute Expires date.

- **Min-fresh**: Client may seek to reuse object for some time; seeks reassurance that it won’t expire before then. Prefers fresh copy from origin server to older copy from proxy cache.

- **Max-stale**: Client might accept info that is a bit stale. (By default, caches don’t return stale info)
Expiry predicted by server

Server can limit the lifetime of objects that it sends:

- **Expires**: When object becomes stale, in absolute terms.
  - Client/cache can determine relative time through Expires - Date
  - Useful for objects whose content is affected by calendar, e.g. update copyright dates each year.

- **Cache-control: max-age**:† Directly specifies maximum cache longevity (relative time)
  - Useful for specifying an interval (e.g. 1 day), without having to calculate absolute date.
  - e.g. set max-age high for corporate logo image, but low for news index page.

If server doesn’t modify object before expiry time, then client can be sure of strong consistency.
But, expiry is a prediction by the server, and may be wrong -> “validation”

† There is also an s-maxage directive that overrides max-age for objects stored in shared caches.
Expiry examples

TCP port 49771

Facebook link to users who “Like” IRTF

GET /en_US/all.js HTTP/1.1
Host: connect.facebook.net
Referer: http://irtf.org/
If-None-Match: "8d8820ae13b1e6c701a6f8a11096eff7"

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
ETag: "0ee1df6dc294855b5cece50388a69773"
Cache-Control: public, max-age=777
Expires: Tue, 24 May 2011 04:53:18 GMT
Date: Tue, 24 May 2011 04:40:21 GMT
Versions of an object

Versions of an object can be identified by:

- **Last-modified time:**
  - Requires origin server to run a clock
  - One-second resolution

- **“Entity† tags” (Etag):**
  - Strings that distinguish different versions of an entity.
  - e.g. created by hashing content of entity.
  - e.g. ETag: "28002d-730-45105ae9"

† Entity is equivalent to “object” for our purposes.
Validation by clients

**Validation** = Check whether a copy is still usable. Usually client seeks a usable copy if its copy isn’t => “Conditional get”:

1. Client sends GET request with
   - “if-modified-since: Date of cached copy”, or
   - “if-none-match: Etag of cached copy”

2. Server responds with
   - object (if modified), or
   - response code “HTTP/1.0 304 Not Modified”

Validation takes time. Client must choose between delay and degree (strong/weak) of consistency.

† There is also an If-Unmodified-Since header field, used to continue accessing new ranges of an object that has previously been partially accessed.
Version & validation example

TCP port 49865

GET /img/ietf.png HTTP/1.1
Host: irtf.org
Referer: http://irtf.org/
If-Modified-Since: Fri, 06 May 2011 10:01:43 GMT
If-None-Match: "aa0b06-754-4a29893aa8fc0"
Cache-Control: max-age=0

HTTP/1.1 304 Not Modified
Date: Tue, 24 May 2011 05:21:29 GMT
ETag: "aa0b06-754-4a29893aa8fc0"
Expires: Tue, 31 May 2011 05:21:29 GMT
Cache-Control: max-age=604800
(re)validation directives

Server can force cache to revalidate:

• **Cache-control: no-cache:** cache must *never* reuse response (to satisfy a subsequent request) without revalidating it (with the origin server).
  e.g. search results, in which URL appears the same but proper response depends on request.

• **Cache-control: must-revalidate:** must revalidate *if object appears stale*; irrespective of cache or client’s acceptance of stale info

Another directive, **proxy-revalidate:** is like **must-revalidate**, but only applies to shared caches (proxies).
(Re)validation examples

TCP port 49775

GET
   /plugins/like.php?channel_url=http%3A%2F%2Fstatic.ak.fbcdn.net%2Fconnect%2Fx2f_proxy.php%3Fversion%3D2%23cb%3Df2e9ef73a74c7f8%26origin%3Dhttp%253A%252F%252Firtf.org
   ... HTTP/1.1
Host: www.facebook.com
Referer: http://irtf.org/

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Cache-Control: private, no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate
Expires: Sat, 01 Jan 2000 00:00:00 GMT
Pragma: no-cache
Date: Tue, 24 May 2011 04:40:21 GMT
Choosing whether to revalidate

- **Strong consistency** requires revalidation for each use.
  - ✔ Cache can reduce traffic load (may not need to download)
  - ✗ Propagation delays remain.
- **Weak consistency** provided by revalidating only when delay seems warranted by heuristics, e.g.
  - Revalidate if $\text{Now} - \text{Date} > (\text{Date} - \text{Last-modified})/2$
  - Same heuristics suggest which cached objects can be replaced when cache is full.
Replacement policies for full cache

Cache capacity is limited. What should be replaced if cache is full?

Shouldn’t cache dynamic content, since a past response will unlikely satisfy a new request.

How to identify dynamic content?
- Responses to POST requests
- Requests that include queries (indicated by "?" in URLs)
- URL suggests generated by a script (e.g. .php)
- Heuristics, e.g. Date – Last-modified < threshold

Cache objects that:
- Are likely to be useful in the future:
  - demand side: replace Least Recently Used object
  - supply side: keep objects that heuristics suggest are most likely to remain valid, e.g. Expires >> current time
- Have high cost to refetch
  - Monetary, e.g. UNSW used to cache traffic received through AARnet (pay-per-use) but not Grangenet (free, but now gone)
  - Performance, e.g. objects that took long to download from origin due to high delay or low throughput
Shared† cache issues

Some content may not be appropriate for other users that share a cache:

- **Personalised content**, e.g. depends on cookies supplied by client.
- **Private content**, e.g. responses to requests that included Authorization header

Server can set `cache-control`: directives:

- `private`: prevents sharing of an object
- `public`: overrides default treatment of private content

Directives that specifically control shared caches (overriding directives that also apply to private caches)

- `s-maxage`: like `max-age`
- `proxy†-revalidate`: like `must-revalidate`: Shared cache can respond with cached object after revalidating it.

† “Shared caches” aka “public” / “proxy” caches
Sharing examples

See previous examples:
- TCP port 49775: Private object
- TCP port 49771: Public object

TCP port 49776 (Small GIF for Google Analytics):
GET /__utm.gif?utmwv=4.9.4&utms=1& ...
  &utmdt=Internet%20Research%20Task%20Force%20(IRT) ... HTTP/1.1
Host: www.google-analytics.com
Referer: http://irtf.org/

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Wed, 18 May 2011 14:01:14 GMT
Pragma: no-cache
Expires: Wed, 19 Apr 2000 11:43:00 GMT
  (Already expired => won’t even be cached, let alone shared!)
Cache-Control: private, no-cache, no-cache=Set-Cookie, proxy-revalidate
Outline
Content distribution networks (CDNs)†

The content providers are the CDN customers. Content replication

- CDN company installs hundreds of CDN servers throughout Internet
  - in lower-tier ISPs, close to users
- CDN replicates its customers’ content in CDN servers. When provider updates content, CDN updates servers

† aka Content Delivery Networks

Slide from Kurose and Ross
CDN example

Origin server

1. HTTP request for www.foo.com/sports/sports.html

DNS query for www.cdn.com

2. DNS query for www.cdn.com

CDNs authoritative DNS server


Nearby CDN server

origin server

- www.foo.com
- distributes HTML
- Replaces:
  - http://www.foo.com/sports.ruth.gif
  with

CDN company

- cdn.com
- distributes gif files
- uses its authoritative DNS server to route redirect requests

Slide from Kurose and Ross

[Server doesn’t actually modify URL. Instead, domain name of their server points to CDN server - Tim]

Copyright © May-17, Tim Moors
More about CDNs

**routing requests**
- CDN creates a "map", indicating distances from leaf ISPs and CDN nodes
- when query arrives at authoritative DNS server:
  - server determines ISP from which query originates
  - uses "map" to determine best CDN server

**not just Web pages**
- streaming stored audio/video
- streaming real-time audio/video
  - CDN nodes create application-layer overlay network

*Slide from Kurose and Ross*
Caching/CDN conclusion

While switches historically just moved information across the network, they are becoming increasingly involved in supplying content and (not covered in this lecture) processing to provide application services in a distributed manner.
Things to think about

- **Critical thinking**: How might increasing end-to-end encryption (e.g. HTTPS) affect the usefulness of caches/CDNs?
- **Engineering methods**: Optimising for the common case is common across engineering, and exemplified by choosing what to cache.
- **Links to other areas**: We’ve seen caching elsewhere:
  - Packet classifiers: hybrids <12U], tries in cached RAM <1F0]
  - Bridge learning <DX] <PG]
- **Independent learning**: Read about [Network Function Virtualisation](http://example.com) which allows ISPs/carriers to use generic hardware/cloud-services to provide caching/CDNs, rather than specialised devices
The end!

- Please complete the myExperience Evaluation
  - We already know that Tim talks too fast
- **Final exam** covers topics from week 7 (packet classification) onwards
- **Consultation times** will be posted on the course web page
- **Good luck** with your careers as telecommunication engineers!
“You're still here? It's over. Go home. Go”